



UNIVERSITY OF  
**TORONTO**  
FACULTY OF LAW

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY  
3 1761 06102011 1

## **FAMILY LAW**

### **Cases and Materials**

### **Volume I**

**Brenda Cossman and Carol Rogerson**  
**Faculty of Law**  
**University of Toronto**

**2006 - 2007**

\* \* \*

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use  
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*

**Storage**

**KE  
538.5  
.C672  
2006  
v.1  
c.1**

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

AUG 29 2006

FACULTY OF LAW  
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO



# **FAMILY LAW**

## **Cases and Materials**

### **Volume I**

**Brenda Cossman and Carol Rogerson**  
**Faculty of Law**  
**University of Toronto**

**2006 - 2007**

\* \* \*

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use  
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*



Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2018 with funding from  
University of Toronto

**FAMILY LAW  
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**Volume I**

**Page No.**

**I. INTRODUCTION: THE FAMILY AND FAMILY LAW**

**A. The Family: Definitions and Demographics**

Excerpts from Statutes and International Documents.....	1
Margrit Eichler, "Beyond the Monolithic Bias in Family Literature," <i>Families in Canada Today</i> (Toronto: Gage, 1983) at 3 .....	2
Vanier Institute of the Family, "Definitions of Family," <i>Profiling Canada's Families</i> (1994) at 10-11.....	5
Maureen Baker, "Family Trends and Family Policies," <i>Transition, Vol. 7</i> , (December 1993) .....	6
Vanier Institute of the Family, "Highlights and Top 10 Trends for Canadian Families", <i>Profiling Canada's Families III</i> (2004) at xv-xvi .....	10
Vanier Institute of the Family, <i>Profiling Canada's Families III</i> (2004) at 39-40, 21-22, 33-34, 155-156 .....	10
"Highlights", <i>Family Violence in Canada: A Statistical Profile 2005</i> (Statistics Canada, Canadian Centre for Justice Studies, 2005) .....	18
Krista Foss, "Men as likely to face abuse from partner, Statscan says," <i>The Globe and Mail</i> , 27 June 2002 .....	20

**B. Recent Challenges to the Family**

<i>Canada (Attorney General) v. Mossop</i> , [1993] 1 S.C.R. 554.....	21
<i>Egan v. Canada</i> , [1995] 2 S.C.R. 513 .....	28

**C. Legal Regulation of the Family**

<i>Balfour v. Balfour</i> , [1919] 2 K.B. 571 (C.A.) .....	31
F. Olsen, "The Family and The Market: A Study of Ideology and Legal Reform" (1983), <i>Harvard L.Rev.</i> 1497 .....	33
John Eekelaar, <i>Family Law and Social Policy</i> , 2d ed. (London: Weidenfeld and Nicolson, 1984) at 15-26 .....	34
Mary Ann Glendon, <i>Abortion and Divorce in Western Law</i> (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1987) at 7-9 .....	39

**II. MARRIAGE AND NULLITY**

**A. The Significance of Marriage**

**(a) The Social Context of Marriage**

Vanier Institute of the Family, <i>Profiling Canada's Families III</i> (2004) at 23-30.....	43
---	----

**(b) The Shifting Legal Significance of Marriage**

Sir William Blackstone, <i>Commentaries on the Laws of England</i> .....	46
William Cobbett, <i>Advice to Young Men and (incidentally) to Young Women</i> (1837) .....	46

Law Reform Commission of Canada, <i>Bigamy</i> (1985) at 3 .....	46
<i>Hyde v. Hyde</i> (1886), 1 L.R. (P & D) 130 .....	47
<i>Graham v. Graham</i> , 33 F. Supp. 936 (1940) .....	47
Shelagh Day, "The Charter and Family Law" in Elisabeth Sloss, ed., <i>Family Law in Canada: New Directions</i> (Ottawa: Canadian Advisory Council on the Status of Women, 1985) 27 at 28-30 .....	49
Mary Ann Glendon, "The New Marriage and the New Property" in J. Eekelaar and S. Katz, eds., <i>Marriage and Cohabitation in Contemporary Societies</i> (Toronto: Butterworths, 1978) at 59-70 .....	50

## B. Unmarried Cohabitation

Note: Terminology .....	57
Sarah Schmidt, "The under-30s are choosing common-law", <i>National Post</i> , 12 July 2002 .....	58
W. Holland, "Cohabitation and Marriage—A Meeting at the Crossroads?" (1990-1991) 7 <i>C.F.L.Q.</i> 33 .....	59
<i>Miron v. Trudel</i> [1995] 2 S.C.R. 418; 124 D.L.R. (4 <sup>th</sup> ) 693 .....	63
<i>Molodowich v. Penttinen</i> (1980), 17 R.F.L. (2d) 376 (Ont. Dist. Ct.) .....	68
<i>Stoikiewicz v. Filas</i> (1978), 7 R.F.L. (2d) 366, 21 O.R. (2d) 717 (U.F.C.) .....	72
<i>Le Labbe and McCullough</i> (1979), 23 O.R. (2d) 536 (Prov. Ct.) .....	74
Note: <i>Macmillan-Dekker v. Dekker</i> (2000), 10 R.F.L. (5 <sup>th</sup> ) 352 (Ont. S.C.J.) .....	75
Note: <i>Campbell v. Szoke</i> (2003), 45 R.F.L. (5 <sup>th</sup> ) 261 (Ont. S.C.J.) .....	76
"Ontario's Divisional Court to rule on whether mistress might win spousal support", <i>Lawyers Weekly</i> , Nov. 1. 2002 .....	77
<i>M. v. H.</i> , [1999] 2 S.C.R. 3 .....	79
Note: Ontario Law Reform Commission, <i>Report on the Rights and Responsibilities of Cohabitants Under the Family Law Act</i> (1993) .....	94
Note: The Legislative Response to <i>M. v. H.</i> .....	96
<i>Nova Scotia (Attorney General) v. Walsh</i> , [2002] 2 S.C.R. 325 .....	97
Note: <i>Hodge v. Canada (Minister of Human Resources Development)</i> , [2004] 3 S.C.R. 357 .....	114
Note: Legal Recognition and Regulation of Non-Conjugal Personal Relationships .....	117
"Single Judge Seeks Benefits of Couples", <i>National Post</i> , Sept. 22, 2004 .....	117
Law Commission of Canada, "Beyond Conjuality: Recognizing and Supporting Close Personal Adult Relationships" .....	120

## C. Validity of Marriage

### (a) Overview

H. R. Hahlo, <i>Nullity of Marriage in Canada</i> (1979) at 1-5 .....	121
Berend Hovius, Note on Validity of Marriage, .....	121
Peter Hogg, <i>Constitutional Law of Canada</i> (1985) at 533-534 .....	122

### (b) Opposite Sexes

Note: Same-Sex Marriage and The Legal Recognition of Same-Sex Relationships .....	123
<i>Halpern v. Canada (A.G.)</i> (2002), 60 O.R. (3d) 32 (Ont. Div. Ct.) .....	125
<i>Halpern v. Canada (A.G.)</i> (2003), 225 D.L.R.(4 <sup>th</sup> ) 529 (Ont. C.A.) .....	135
Note: The Same-Sex Marriage Reference .....	147



<i>Reference re Same-Sex Marriage</i> , [2004] 3 S.C.R. 698 .....	147
Note: <i>The Civil Marriage Act</i> (2005).....	155
“The Knights and the Lesbians: Exhibit A in the same-sex uproar”, <i>Globe and Mail</i> , Feb. 2, 2004 .....	157
“Refused gay rites, marriage official expects to get axed”, <i>Globe and Mail</i> , July 19, 2005 .....	158
“To fulfill a marriage commissioner’s duty”, Editorial, <i>Globe and Mail</i> , July 20, 2005 .....	159
“Ottawa likely won’t fight Alberta Marriage law”, <i>Globe and Mail</i> , July 28, 2005 .....	160
Note: Foreign Recognition of Same-Sex Marriage .....	161
“Same-Sex couples get travel warning”, <i>Globe and Mail</i> , July 25, 2005 .....	161
“Couple test British court on gay marriage”, <i>Globe and Mail</i> , Aug. 17, 2005 .....	162
Note: Same-Sex Marriage Developments in the United States .....	163
<b>(c) Capacity to Consummate</b>	
<i>Gajamugan v. Gajamugan</i> (1979), 10 R.F.L. (2d) 280 (Ont. S.C.) .....	165
<i>Dashevsky v. Dashevsky</i> (1973), 13 R.F.L. 1 (Ont. S.C.) .....	167
“Judge refuses to annul unconsummated marriage” (re <i>Falk v. Falk</i> ), <i>Lawyers Weekly</i> , Oct. 1, 1999 .....	169
Note: <i>L. (K.H.) v. L. (G.Q.)</i> (2003), 231 D.L.R. (4 <sup>th</sup> ) 677 (B.C.C.A.) .....	170
<i>Norman v. Norman</i> (1979), 9 R.F.L. (2d) 235 (Ont. U.F.C.) .....	171
<b>(d) Monogamy</b>	
Law Reform Commission of Canada, <i>Bigamy</i> (1985) at 3 .....	173
Note: Bigamous and Polygamous Relationships .....	174
“Polygamous spouses covered under B.C. family legislation, judge holds” <i>Lawyer’s Weekly</i> , 1 November 1991 .....	176
“Community practices polygamy” <i>Globe and Mail</i> , 24 September 1990 .....	177
“Polygamist Is Found Guilty of Bigamy” <i>The Washington Post</i> , 20 May 2001 .....	179
“What does polygamy have to do with it?” Editorial, <i>Globe and Mail</i> , Jan. 22, 2005 .....	180
“Polygamy a no-go” Letter to the Editor, <i>Globe and Mail</i> , Jan. 25, 2005 .....	181
<b>(e) Age Requirements for a Valid Marriage</b> .....	182
<b>(f) Prohibited Degrees of Consanguinity and Affinity</b> .....	182
<b>(g) Consent as a Requirement for a Valid Marriage</b> .....	183
<b>(i) Capacity to Understand</b>	
Note: <i>Durham v. Durham</i> (1885), 10 P.D. 80 at 81-2 .....	184
David Thompson, “A Consideration of the Mental Capacity Provisions of the Marriage Act in View of the Charter of Rights and Freedoms and .....	184
<b>(ii) Duress</b>	
Note: <i>Scott v. Sebright</i> (1886), 12 P.D. 21; <i>Cooper v. Crane</i> , [1891] P. 369; <i>Buckland v. Buckland</i> , [1967] 2 All E.R. 300 .....	189
<i>S.(A.) v. S.(A.)</i> (1988), 15 R.F.L. (3d) 433 (Ont. U.F.C.) .....	190
Note on Arranged Marriages: <i>Parihar v. Bhattie</i> (1980), 17 R.F.L. (2d) 289; <i>Singh v. Singh</i> (unreported, Ont. U. Fam. Ct., 13 June 1980) .....	195
Bruce Ziff, “Recent Developments in Marriage and Divorce” (1986) 18 Ottawa	

Law Review 121 at 133-134 .....	195
David Bradley, "Duress and Arranged Marriages" (1983) 46 Modern Law Review 499 .....	196
(iii) <u>Limited Purpose Marriage</u>	
<i>Asser v. Permohamed</i> (1984), 40 R.F.L. (2d) 299 (Ont. H.C.) .....	198
Note: <i>Singla v. Singla</i> (1985), 46 R.F.L. (2d) 235 (N.S.S.C.) .....	200

### III. DIVORCE

#### A. The Social Context of Divorce

Ann Marie Ambert, "Divorce: Facts, Figures, and Consequences" (Vanier Institute of the Family, 2005) .....	201
Julien D. Payne, "The Dichotomy between Family Law and Family Crises on Marriage Breakdown" (1989), 20 <i>R.G.D.</i> 109 .....	217

#### B. Reform of Divorce Law

##### (a) The Movement to No-Fault Divorce

H. R. Hahlo, "The Changing Face of Marriage and Divorce" in R.S. Abella and C. L'Heureux-Dubé, eds., <i>Family Law: Dimensions of Justice</i> (1983) .....	219
Note on history of divorce legislation in Canada .....	222
Bruce Ziff, "Recent Developments in Canadian Law: Marriage and Divorce" (1986) 18 <i>Ottawa Law Review</i> 121 at 137-147 .....	222
Dept. Of Justice, <i>Evaluation of the Divorce Act, Phase II: Monitoring and Evaluation</i> (May, 1990) at 1-9 .....	226
Note: Divorce Reform in England .....	231

##### (b) Critiques of No-Fault Divorce

Lenore J. Weitzman, <i>The Divorce Revolution</i> (1985) at 362 .....	231
Marygold Melli, "Constructing a Social Problem: The Post-Divorce Plight of Women and Children" [1986] <i>American Bar Foundation Research Journal</i> 759 at 770-771 .....	235
Note: Other Critiques of No-Fault Divorce .....	236
"Putting the 'fault' back in no-fault divorce" <i>Globe and Mail</i> , 15 March 1988 .....	238
"Spousal misconduct returns to divorce?" <i>Lawyer's Weekly</i> , 25 March 1988 .....	239
Note: <i>Valenti v. Valenti</i> (1996), 21 R.F.L. (4th) 246 (Ont. Gen. Div.) .....	241
"Victim is sued for support", <i>Toronto Star</i> , Jan. 23, 2001 .....	242

#### C. Obtaining a Divorce Under the Divorce Act, 1985

##### (a) Grounds for Divorce

##### (i) Living Separate and Apart

<i>Rushton v. Rushton</i> (1968), 66 W.W.R. 764, 2 D.L.R. (3d) 25 (B.C.S.C.) .....	243
<i>Dupere v. Dupere</i> (1974), 19 R.F.L. 270, 9 N.B.R. (2d) 554 (Q.B.) .....	243
<i>Calvert v. Calvert</i> (1997), 32 O.R. 281 (Ont. Gen. Div.) .....	246
Note: Relevance of Date of Separation for Property Division .....	246



Note: Alternative to Separation as a “No-Fault” Ground for Divorce.....	246
<b>(ii) <u>Adultery</u></b>	
“Wisconsin woman to stand trial on rarely used adultery charge” <i>The Globe and Mail</i> , 1 May 1990.....	247
Mary Ann Glendon, <i>The Transformation of Family Law</i> (1989) at 286-287.....	248
Note: Social Acceptance of Adultery.....	249
Note on Definition of Adultery .....	249
“Judge changes definition of adultery”, <i>National Post</i> , Aug. 31, 2005 .....	249
Note: Proof of Adultery .....	250
<i>Burbage v. Burbage</i> (1985), 46 R.F.L. (2d) 33 (Ont. H.C.J.) at 36-38 .....	250
Note: <i>d’Entremont v. d’Entremont</i> (1992), 44 R.F.L. (3d) 224 (N.S.C.A.).....	251
<b>(iii) <u>Cruelty</u></b>	
<i>Knoll v. Knoll</i> , [1970] 2 O.R. 169, 1 R.F.L. 141 (C.A.).....	252
Note : <i>Chouinard v. Chouinard</i> (1969), 10 D.L.R. (3d) 263 (N.B.C.A.) .....	254
<i>Austin v. Austin</i> (1970), 2 R.F.L. 136, 73 W.W.R. 289, (Sask. C.A.) .....	254
<i>Gilbert v. Gilbert</i> (1980), 18 R.F.L. (2d) 240, 39 N.S.R. (2d) 241 (T.D.) .....	255
<i>B.(Y.) v. B.(J.)</i> (1989), 20 R.F.L. (3d) 154 (Alta. Q.B.) .....	259
<b>(b) Duties and Bars to Proceedings</b>	
<b>(i) <u>Condonation, Connivance, Collusion</u></b>	
Introductory Note.....	260
Christine Davies, <i>Family Law in Canada</i> (1986) at 417-437.....	261
Note: <i>Guglielmo v. Mikhail</i> (Que. S.C., March 1991).....	264
<i>Johnston v. Ahmed</i> (1981), 22 R.F.L. (2d) 14 (Alta. Q.B.).....	265
<b>(ii) <u>Inadequate Provision for Child Support</u></b>	
Note: <i>F. (R.D.) v. F. (S.L.)</i> (1987), 6 R.F.L. (3d) 413 (B.C.S.C.); <i>Harper v.</i> <i>Harper</i> (1999), 78 D.L.R. (4 <sup>th</sup> ) 548 (Ont. Gen. Div.).....	266
<b>(iii) <u>Religious Bars</u></b>	
“Family Lawyers Get Change” <i>Law Times</i> , 1990.....	268

